

Retroperitoneal Lipoblastoma-A Rare Entity

SUHITHA GAJANTHODY¹, HARSHAVARDHAN GOWDA², ASHRAF AHAMED³, ANURADHA CK RAO⁴, MR SHASHIDHAR⁵

ABSTRACT

Lipoblastomas are uncommon tumours which can achieve enormous size and volumes occurring in young paediatric population predominantly. Retroperitoneal locations of these tumours are very rare and only a few cases have been noted in the literature. In spite of their large size and at times infiltrative nature, they can be surgically excised successfully with low complication rates. They usually present due to pressure effect on adjacent structures or due to mass effect with no malignant potential. We describe a case of large retroperitoneal lipoblastoma and review the current literature and management.

Keywords: Benign, Cytogenetics, Histology, Lipoblasts, Paediatric

Case Report

CASE REPORT

A one-year-old male child presented with a progressive abdominal distension of 2 months duration and a mass palpated by the child's mother since 1 month. There was no history of fever, pain, vomiting or abdominal distention. The child weighed 10.5 kg and had normal cry and activity. General physical examination was normal.

On examination, a non tender, firm, retroperitoneal mass was palpated in the right hypochondrium, umbilical and right lumbar region. The biochemical reports were normal including a normal beta HCG and AFP levels. A provisional diagnosis of a retroperitoneal tumour was made. Ultrasonography of the abdomen showed echogenic lobulated mass lesion occupying the right upper and lower quadrants with right mild hydronephrosis. Contrast Enhanced Computerised Tomography (CECT) of abdomen showed large infra and retroperitoneal fat and fluid density lesion enhancing the great abdominal vessels, displacing the bowel loops, urinary bladder, kidneys and pancreas suggestive of a large retroperitoneal tumour [Table/Fig-1].



[Table/Fig-1]: CECT abdomen showing large retroperitoneal tumour displacing the bowel and kidneys.

Explorative laparotomy revealed a large retroperitoneal tumour, pressing on the right ureter, displacing the bowel to the left and a thin walled inferior venacava due to pressure effect [Table/Fig-2]. The tumour was completely removed and rest of abdomen explored thoroughly to exclude other abnormalities.

Grossly the tumour was 29.5×19×8.5 cm in dimensions and weighed 814 gm [Table/Fig-3]. Microscopically sections studied showed hypocellular lobules of adipocytes in various stages of differentiation including preadipocytes separated by prominent fibrous septa of varying thickness along with myxoid change. Histopathological features were suggestive of Lipoblastoma with myxoid change [Table/Fig-4-6].



[Table/Fig-2]: Intraoperatively yellowish white tumour with lobulations.



[Table/Fig-3]: Excised tumour measuring 29.5×19×8.5 cm.



[Table/Fig-4]: Photomicrograph showing hypocellular adipocytes with extensive plexiform pattern of blood vessels (H&E stain, 10X).



[Table/Fig-5]: Photomicrograph showing hypocellular lobule of adipocytes in various stages of differentiation including preadipocytes separated by fibrous septa along with myxoid change (H&E stain, 40X).



The child had an uneventful postoperative period and was completely asymptomatic at 6 months follow-up.

DISCUSSION

Adipose tissue tumours are quite rare in childhood and comprises of only less than 6% of soft tissue tumours [1]. Chung E et al., described two forms: a localized, superficial, lobulated, encapsulated, or circumscribed form called lipoblastoma and a diffuse, infiltrative form called lipoblastomatosis [2]. Lipoblastomas usually occurs in the subcutaneous plane of extremities, while lipoblastomatosis have a tendency to infiltrate into body compartments (retroperitoneum, abdomen, thorax) [1]. However, rare sites with lipoblastomas have been documented in the literature which includes- mesentric, inguinolabial, perineal and intrascrotal types [3].

The utility of ultrasonography, Computed Tomography (CT) and Magnetic Resonance Imaging (MRI) are well documented in the

evaluation, but surgical removal of the tumour is mandatory to exclude the possibility of malignancy. In absence of any malignant changes (histologically), additional studies to stage the disease is not required, as lipoblastomas have no known malignant potential [4].

A lipoblastoma can be confused with a myxoid liposarcoma due to the presence of lipoblasts and the plexiform vascular pattern in a myxoid background. In these histologically borderline or difficult cases, cytogenetics can be of diagnostic value [5]. Rearrangements of chromosomal region 8q11-33 are found in the majority of lipoblastomas, whereas a distinctive translocation t(12;16) (q13;p11) is found in myxoid liposarcoma [6-8]. Lipoma, hybernoma, rhabdomyosarcoma and teratomas may be considered as alternative differential diagnosis.

Recommended treatment for lipoblastomas is complete surgical excision considering only one case has been reported with spontaneous resolution [7]. However, if critical structures are involved, a staged approach can be adapted [7]. Recurrence rates of up to 26% have been documented, especially in lipoblastomatosis with a mean follow-up of up to 3 years. Hence, regular follow-up for a period of 5 years is advocated [5,7,9].

CONCLUSION

Lipoblastoma is a very uncommon diagnosis in the retroperitoneal location. CT or MRI evaluation helps in delineating the tumour, its extent and involvement of other structures. Complete surgical removal is the recommended modality of treatment with a proper advice of rate of recurrence. A minimum follow-up of 5 years is recommended.

REFERENCES

- Hicks J, Dilley A, Patel D, Barrish J, Zhu S, Brandt M. Lipoblastoma and lipoblastomatosis in infancy and childhood: histopathologic, ultrastructural, and cytogenetic features. Ultrastructural Pathology. 2001;25(4):321-33.
- [2] Chung E, Enzinger F. Benign lipoblastomatosis. An analysis of 35 cases. Cancer. 1973;32(2):482-92.
- [3] Eyssartier E, Villemagne T, Maurin L, Machet M, Lardy H. Intrascrotal lipoblastoma: A report of two cases and a review of the literature. Journal of Pediatric Urology. 2013;9(6):e151-54.
- [4] Moaath A, Raed E, Mohammad R, Mohammad S. Lipoblastoma: a rare mediastinal tumour. The Annals of Thoracic Surgery. 2009;88(5):1695-97.
- [5] Dilley A, Patel D, Hicks M, Brandt M. Lipoblastoma: Pathophysiology and surgical management. Journal of Pediatric Surgery. 2001;36(1):229-31.
- [6] Kloboves-Prevodnik V, Us-Krašovec M, Gale N, Lamovec J. Cytological features of lipoblastoma: A report of three cases. Diagnostic Cytopathology. 2005;33(3):195-200.
- [7] Speer A, Schofield D, Wang K, Shin C, Stein J, Shaul D, et al. Contemporary management of lipoblastoma. Journal of Pediatric Surgery. 2008;43(7):1295-300.
- [8] Deen M, Ebrahim S, Schloff D, Mohamed A. A novel PLAG1-RAD51L1 gene fusion resulting from a t(8;14)(q12;q24) in a case of lipoblastoma. Cancer Genetics. 2013;206(6):233-37.
- [9] Kok K, Telisinghe P. Lipoblastoma: clinical features, treatment, and outcome. World Journal of Surgery. 2010;34(7):1517-22.

PARTICULARS OF CONTRIBUTORS:

1. Assistant Professor, Department of Pediatric Surgery, Yenepoya Medical College Hospital, Mangalore, Karnataka, India.

- 2. Resident, Department of General Surgery, Yenepoya Medical College Hospital, Mangalore, Karnataka, India.
- 3. Associate Professor, Department of Pediatric Surgery, Yenepoya Medical College Hospital, Mangalore, Karnataka, India.
- 4. Professor, Department of Pathology, Yenepoya Medical College Hospital, Mangalore, Karnataka, India.

5. Assistant Professor, Department of Pathology, Yenepoya Medical College Hospital, Mangalore, Karnataka, India.

NAME, ADDRESS, E-MAIL ID OF THE CORRESPONDING AUTHOR: Dr. Suhitha Gajanthody,

Suprasada, 6th Cross, Lohithnagar, Mangalore-575006, Karnataka, India. E-mail: suhith@rediffmail.com

FINANCIAL OR OTHER COMPETING INTERESTS: None.

Date of Submission: Apr 02, 2018 Date of Peer Review: May 29, 2018 Date of Acceptance: Jul 02, 2018 Date of Publishing: Sep 01, 2018